Christians and atheists may (and often do) agree with number 1. Many Christians have argued that if God did not exist then there would not be a rational basis for objective morality. Similarly, many atheists have said that morality is merely a human (and therefore, subjective and relative) construct and that it does not have objective existence.

For example, the atheist philosopher Michael Ruse has argued that morality “is a biological adaptation no less than are hands and feet and teeth.” Consequently, he has said that “considered as a rationally justifiable set of claims about an objective something, [morality] is illusory. I appreciate that when somebody says, ‘Love thy neighbor as thyself,’ they think they are referring above and beyond themselves,” but he concludes that “such reference is truly without foundation. Morality is just an aid to survival and reproduction,” and consequently, “any deeper meaning is illusory” (Michael Ruse, “Evolutionary Theory and Christian Ethics,” in The Darwinian Paradigm (London: Routledge, 1989), 262, 268–269).

However, if we’re honest, we must acknowledge number 2 instead. When we hear stories of mass murders or genocides in distant lands, we do not think, “These make no difference. It is perfectly fine to kill innocent people. Their lives are without any objective meaning.” We recognize, instead, that people do matter and that we cannot treat them like unimportant, disposable objects. It is objectively wrong to kill innocent people, and to deny this is to deny a fundamental perception of the human heart. It is, in fact, inhuman to propose this.

The intuition that moral values are real is so deeply embedded in the human heart that even those who deny objective morality invariably slip back into moralizing. Even a brief survey of the writings of prominent atheists reveal a constant drumbeat of moral judgments and, indeed, moral outrage. The question is not whether moral values objectively exist, but what their basis can be. Here are four possibilities:

**Nature:** In this case, morals would be something that exist objectively in nature. But we can’t measure good and evil in a scientific experiment. Therefore, if they exist, they transcend the realm of science and point to a realm that is beyond the merely natural.

**Individual Choice:** Some have suggested that we make our own morality — that it arises from our individual choice. While this could explain morality as a subjective phenomenon, it does nothing to explain why things are objectively right and wrong. It also would not result in a set of moral values that are binding. If I have the authority to bind myself, I also have the authority to unbind myself.

**Society:** Perhaps moral values are established by society.

This is simply a collective version of the individual choice idea, where the choices of a whole group of individuals are held to be the basis of morality. As a result, it again does not establish an objective, binding morality. What right do others have to tell me what I should and should not do?

**God:** Finally, objective morality may be grounded in God—in His own nature and goodness. Only if morality originates in something above me would it have authority over me. Thus, without God there is no objective basis for morality. Of course, this does not mean that atheists cannot act morally nor is it arguing that something is good simply because God commands it. It does mean, however, that there is something that transcends the natural order—God Himself—that serves as the basis of morality.

**Epilogue**

If you are an atheist, the fact you have taken the time to read this pamphlet is a sign that you are open to the possibility of God’s existence. I would encourage you to check out the resource section at streetevangelization.com for thoughtful answers to your honest questions.
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The Kalaam Argument

The Kalaam argument is based on the idea that the universe began to exist and thus has a cause. The core idea can be phrased as follows:

1. Whatever begins to exist has a cause for its existence.
2. The universe began to exist.
3. Therefore, the universe was caused by something else.

Number 1 seems obviously true, by our experience. Things do not simply pop into existence, uncaused, out of nothing. Indeed, if anything did appear to simply pop into existence, we would immediately begin asking why: what was the cause of it?

What about number 2? Did the universe, all of time, space, matter, and energy, begin to exist? Though historically some have believed that the universe is eternal and uncaused, recent scientific discoveries suggest otherwise. According to the standard view (in physics and astronomy) of how the universe began, it sprang into existence around 13.7 billion years ago in an event called “the Big Bang.” This is commonly viewed as an actual first event, not preceded by anything else.

According to this model, asking “What happened before the Big Bang?” is a nonsensical question. Nothing can come before the first event. It is like asking “What’s north of the North Pole?” If nothing can begin to exist without a cause and the universe began to exist, then it follows that there is a cause of the universe.

Number 2 is also secure. If we look about the physical universe, we see it filled with stars and galaxies, and we see that the phenomena within it obey certain laws. Gravity is a certain strength and not stronger or weaker. Light travels at a particular (unchanging) speed and not faster or slower. The universe also contains a certain amount of matter and energy, not more or less. Why?

All of this could be different. At one time the universe did not contain stars and galaxies. What was it like before? How many dimensions of space and time are there? Why do we experience three dimensions of space and one of time, not more or less? Why is gravity precisely as strong as it is? Why does light move at the speed it does and not another?

All of these matters are subjects of scientific inquiry, and they reveal that the physical universe as a whole is contingent. That is, the universe is one way but could have been another. It therefore needs a reason for the way it is—an explanation.

But let’s inquire a bit further and ask about what could explain why the physical universe as is it is. The explanation must lie beyond the physical universe itself. It must be something beyond space and time, beyond matter and energy, but with the power to create each of these and to establish the laws that they obey. Once again, that sounds a lot like God.

You might ask whether God Himself requires a further explanation. The answer in this case is no, because unlike the physical universe, God is not a contingent Being. He could not fail to exist or have different attributes than He does. This is why Christian philosophers and theologians say that God is a necessary Being, one that does not have the kind of contingency that characterizes the physical universe and all the things in it. God could not be other than He is. He simply is.

This is something our intuition also tells us: there must be an ultimate explanation, one that does not depend on anything else, and thus one that explains everything else. Something fundamental, that grounds all the contingent things we see around us, is required. Thus, there must be a God.

The Moral Argument

The Moral Argument can be phrased like this:

1. If God does not exist, then objective moral values do not exist.
2. Objective moral values do exist.
3. Therefore, God exists.